

ALICJA POTORSKA

ORCID 0000-0001-8866-196X

Uniwersytet Kazimierza Wielkiego w Bydgoszczy

OBSERVATION WORKSHEET FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF SELF-REGULATION OF 2- TO 3-YEAR-OLD CHILDREN (AOS)

ABSTRACT. Potorska Alicja, *Observation Worksheet for the Development of Self-Regulation of 2- To 3-Year-Old Children (AOS)* [Arkusz do obserwacji rozwoju zdolności do samoregulacji u dzieci 2-3-letnich (AOS)]. *Studia Edukacyjne* no. 65, 2022, Poznań 2022, pp. 117-135. Adam Mickiewicz University Press. ISSN 1233-6688. DOI: 10.14746/se.2022.65.8

This article presents the results of verifying the psychometric validity of a tool developed to investigate the developmental changes taking place in children attending nurseries, aged 2 to 3 years. The tool was used in research on the conditions created in nurseries for children of toddler age. It discusses the theoretical underpinnings of the concept of diagnosing early education environments and the assumptions designating the structure of the questionnaire measuring the effects of development of young children in the scope of self-regulation. The studies served to empirically validate the concept of the diagnosis of early care and education milieus and verify the validity of the psychometric tools to diagnose the effects of development of children aged 2 to 3 years. The study made use of the pedagogical monograph method and the observation technique. In order to carry out a longitudinal study with two measurements in the period from December 2017 to July 2018, 5 tools were developed, including the scale described in this article. A total of 131 children of toddler age attending 10 groups in 4 institutions and 20 caregivers working with them on a regular basis were included in the study. Subsequently, the results of the verification of the psychometric validity of the tool were presented: Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient, average correlations between items in both measures, and Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) indicating the agreement between two judges. The psychometric validity of a scale is confirmed by data concerning high reliability and accuracy. Factor analysis was used to modify the sub-scale measuring the self-regulation capacity developed on the stage of tool development. The psychometric values obtained for the tool suggest that it can be aptly applied to forecast and monitor the development of the self-regulation of young children and, consequently, that it may contribute to creating more conducive conditions for them and assist the development of this ability.

Key words: psychosocial development of a young child, scale to observe the development of the self-regulation ability, early childhood education, early interventions for psychosocial development

Introduction

The concept of the diagnosis of the conditions for the psychosocial development of children of toddler aged being verified by the author of this article¹ is a proposal of a method of assessing the conditions created in early care and education milieus and the effects of the development of children being educated and cared for in such environments. This concept is based on the assumption that the conditions for psychosocial development created in nurseries should be designed on the basis of scientific knowledge concerning the specificity of the course of this development and the social and emotional needs of young children resulting from this. In line with this way of thinking, supporting the psychosocial development of children attending nurseries means creating conditions for them that are conducive to the fulfilment of developmental tasks, constituting a protective factor for their further successful development. The social psychology concept of human development developed by Anna I. Brzezińska (2000) and Erik H. Erikson's concept of psychosocial development (1997) were used to describe and analyse the effects and conditions of psychosocial development. Consequently, the presented approach deals with supporting the social and emotional development of young children designed on the basis of diagnoses carried out earlier. The need for studies on the conditions of development created in early childhood education and care institutions and the diagnosis of the developmental possibilities of children attending these institutions has already been raised by Katarzyna Sadowska (2018),² Jolanta Zwiernik (2015),³ Elżbieta Hornowska (2014),⁴ Elżbieta Wiczór (2014),⁵ Anna I. Brzezińska,

¹ The diagnostic concept of the conditions of psychosocial development created for children of toddler age in early childhood education and care institutions was developed within a doctoral dissertation prepared under the guidance of Maria Deptuła, titled: *Diagnosis of the conditions created for children of toddler age in selected early childhood education and care institutions and the development by them of autonomy and self-regulation*. The research was carried out within the project titled: *The concept of diagnosis of the context of psychosocial development created for 2- to 3-year-old children in early childhood education and care institutions* was co-financed by a research grant for young scientists and participants of doctoral study programmes awarded in 2018 to the Faculty of Pedagogy and Psychology at the Kazimierz Wielki University.

² K. Sadowska, *Wczesnodziecięca edukacja w żłobku. Obraz i postrzeganie*, Poznań 2018.

³ J. Zwiernik, *Przestrzenie i miejsca w krajobrazie dzieciństwa*, [in:] *Miejsca, przestrzeń, krajobraz: edukacyjne znaki*, Ed. T. Sadoń-Osowiecka, Gdańsk 2015, p. 13-38.

⁴ E. Hornowska et. al., *Rola środowiska w rozwoju małego dziecka – metody badania*, Warszawa 2014.

⁵ E. Wiczór, *Określenie ram jakości w zakresie wczesnej opieki i edukacji. Przegląd badań edukacyjnych*, *Przegląd Badań Edukacyjnych*, 2014, 19(2), p. 149-166.

Magdalena Czub (2012),⁶ and Lucyna Telka (2009),⁷ among others. These Authors have pointed out that there is inadequate research on the everyday life of children attending nurseries and insufficient knowledge of the course of development of these children, which limits the fulfilment of the educational and upbringing function of these institutions. This article presents a mere fragment of the project for the diagnosis of selected early childhood care and education milieus. The subject of the article is the presentation of the structure and psychometric validity of the observation worksheet for the self-regulation ability emerging in children aged 2 to 3 years old.

The work on the development of the tools to diagnose the institutional conditions for psychosocial development and the effects of this development in young children was preceded by a systematic literature review.⁸ As a result of this review, a battery of tools from USA was established – The Ages and Stages Questionnaires Social-Emotional, Second Edition, ASQ : SE-2.⁹ Observation questionnaires are intended for parents and caregivers of young children as well as healthcare professionals to enable early detection of difficulties in the social and emotional development of children aged from 1 to 72 months. The tool is divided into nine scales for children aged 2, 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 34, 48, and 60 months, respectively, each of which covers an assessment of the child's development in five key areas for social and emotional skills, namely: self-regulation, the child's ability to adapt to the instructions given by the caregiver and applicable rules, adaptive behaviour, autonomy, the ability of the child to express feelings, social communication, and interactions with other people. Further tools include: *The Emotion Regulation Questionnaire for Children* and *the Emotion Thermometer for Children*, as well as the *Adaptive Style Questionnaire*.¹⁰ They are intended to

⁶ A. Brzezińska, M. Czub, *Wczesna opieka i edukacja dzieci w Polsce w kontekście europejskim*, Polityka Społeczna, 2012, 1, p. 15-19.

⁷ L. Telka, *Przekształcanie przestrzeni społecznej placówki. Studium społeczno-pedagogiczne na przykładzie żłobków*, Łódź 2009.

⁸ A communication regarding the systematic review of non-serial publications and scientific journals covering the period from 2000 to 2015 on List A, B, and C of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the database of journals of the National Library, and online resources carried out by the author of this article have been published in an article titled: *Przegląd narzędzi do badania kontekstu rozwoju dzieci do lat 3* [Literature Review to Study the Developmental Context of Children Under the Age of 3], *Teraźniejszość – Człowiek – Edukacja* [The Present – Man – Education], 2016, 19, 4, p. 115-136.

⁹ J. Squires, D. Bricker, E. Twombly, *Ages and stages questionnaires. Social Emotional. Parent-completed child monitoring system for Social-Emotional Behaviors*, Second edition, London 2015, https://www.delnortekids.org/uploads/8/2/8/1/82819108/master_set.pdf.

¹⁰ A. Brzezińska et al., *Skrzynka z narzędziami. Wczesne dzieciństwo. Jak zbierać informacje o dziecku i środowisku jego rozwoju. Monitorowanie rozwoju w okresie dzieciństwa i dorastania*, Series IV, [in:] *Niezbędnik Dobrego Nauczyciela. Seria IV Rozwój w okresie dzieciństwa i dorastania*, Ed. A. Brzezińska, Warszawa 2014, p. 29-31 and 35-36.

assess the level of development of children in early childhood in the scope of social and emotional skills and are to help practitioners exercise child-care over young children in nurseries. As Konrad Piotrowski¹¹ states, the psychometric assessment of the *Adaptive Style Questionnaire* was carried out in studies on a representative for the country as a whole random sample of 784 parents and legal guardians of children aged 18-66 months. Due to the subject of this article not being a report on the carried out literature review, the mentioned tools and results of research using them will be characterised in detail in another publication. The results of the literature review led to a confirmation of the need to develop tools allowing practitioners to monitor the course of the fulfilment of the developmental tasks of children of toddler age attending nurseries.

Furthermore, the analysis of literature concerning the course of development of a child's social skills shows that it should occur in sync with the determination and analysis of the course of emotional development. Understanding and explaining a child's behaviour requires the assumption on the existence of a mutual dependency between behaviours reflecting emotions and those recognised as social behaviour.¹² According to Magdalena Czub and Joanna Matejczuk,¹³ the increasing ability of a 2- to 3-year-old child to socially function independently and to fulfil the most significant needs of this period, in other words, expressing their own will, forces them to face the difficulties of regulating their emotional states evoked by any encountered obstacles in the form of divergent goals of the people from their social milieu and changing conditions and consequences of functioning independently. In this sense, it was recognised that an attempt to establish the correctness of the social functioning of children aged 2 to 3 years in early childhood education and care institutions from the perspective of the fulfilment of a developmental task must also include an assessment of the level of their emotional development, which initiated the work on the tool to study self-regulation presented further on in this article.

¹¹ K. Piotrowski, *Kwestionariusz Styl adaptacji*, [in:] *Diagnoza funkcjonowania społeczno-emocjonalnego dziecka w wieku od 1,5 do 5,5 lat*, Ed. M. Czub, Warszawa 2014, p. 11-25 and 49-74.

¹² J. Squires, D. Bricker, E. Twombly, *Ages and stages questionnaires. Social Emotional. Parent-completed child monitoring system for Social-Emotional Behaviors*, Second edition, London 2015, https://www.delnortekids.org/uploads/8/2/8/1/82819108/master_set.pdf; M. Czub, *Rozwój kompetencji społeczno-emocjonalnych dziecka*, [in:] *Diagnoza funkcjonowania społeczno-emocjonalnego dziecka w wieku od 1,5 do 5,5 lat*, Ed. M. Czub, Warszawa 2014, p. 11-25.

¹³ M. Czub, J. Matejczuk, *Rozwój społeczno-emocjonalny w pierwszych sześciu latach życia. Perspektywa jednostki, rodziny i społeczeństwa*, Warszawa 2015.

The assumptions determining the structure of the observation worksheet for self-regulation ability in 2- to 3-year-old children

In line with Erikson's concept of psychosocial development (1997), between the age of 2 and 3 a child goes through the second of eight developmental crises called autonomy vs shame and doubt. Achieving a relative balance between a sense of autonomy and a sense of shame and doubt is possible through coping with the tension arising between these states. The social environment and the quality of the conditions created by adults is important for the course and effects of the fulfilment of this developmental task. In the situation when the individuation process does not receive approval and the developing ability to regulate emotional states individually is left unsupported by an adult, the child begins to doubt in their ability to be an autonomous individual who can cooperate with the milieu. The emerging doubts impede their further and successful psychosocial development.¹⁴ This means that the achievement of autonomy by a child of toddler age accompanied by the ability to establish good relationships with others requires the development of the ability to self-regulate emotions and regulate one's own behaviours. Thus, the development of autonomy takes place through transitioning from a dyadic regulation of emotions to self-regulation.¹⁵ For these reasons, both the ability to be autonomous and the beginnings of the development of self-regulation are considered to be the most significant achievements in the social and emotional sphere of development at this stage of a young child's life.

Structure of the self-regulation worksheet

Self-regulation ability means satisfying own needs and fulfilling the set goals in a manner that does not compromise social norms. A child who is developing their ability to control and express the emotions they experience, steer their own behaviours and cognitive processes, is capable of coping with excitation and tension without losing the ability to act effectively.¹⁶ This approach to the self-regulation ability of a 2- to 3-year-old child was adopted to develop the tool studying this variable.

The observation worksheet for the self-regulation ability comprises 19 statements across five sub-scales that will be discussed hereunder. The

¹⁴ E.H. Erikson, *Tożsamość a cykl życia*, Poznań 2004.

¹⁵ M. Czub, J. Matejczuk, *Rozwój społeczno-emocjonalny w pierwszych sześciu latach życia*.

¹⁶ Ibidem.

tool is intended for caregivers working with children of toddler age attending nurseries on a regular basis. In the instructions, the caregiver is asked to assess the frequency of occurrence of examples of the child's behaviours provided in the statements, based on observations of their functioning, using the following scale: 1 – *never*, 2 – *seldom*, 3 – *sometimes*, 4 – *often*, and 5 – *always*. The scale was constructed so that the higher the result obtained, the higher the level of development of the self-regulation ability the child has achieved. According to literature describing the specificity of development of the initial self-regulation ability in children of this age, five dimensions were identified during the development of the scale: *Naming the emotions and states being experienced*, *expressing the emotions and states being experienced*, *controlling pleasant and unpleasant excitation*, *coping in difficult situations*, *coping in situations of conflict with a caregiver or peer*.

The first dimension refers to the verbal description of the emotions and states being experienced by the child in various situations. The verbalisation of emotions constitutes an element of coping with them, particularly when the child is experiencing negative emotions. At this stage, the child is beginning to imitate behaviours that express emotions, to name emotions, and is learning to play them out or pretend them during play.¹⁷ The following dimension was identified to capture the manifestations of the developing self-regulation ability: *Naming experienced emotions and states*, and the statements relating to it have been presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Items of the naming experienced emotions and states dimension

Item No.	Statement Content
2	In difficult situations the child names their emotions by stating, for example: "I'm scared when the dog is barking", "I'm angry when you don't allow me do this", and "I feel sad when you're saying this".
13	The child names the experienced states using the following statements, for example: "I'm sad", "I'm angry", "I'm jumping for joy", "I'm clapping because I like this", "I'm laughing", "I miss somebody/something", and "I'm crying because it hurts".

A manifestation of the developing self-regulation ability is the intentional expression of emotions also using gestures. The need to express more complex emotions such as pride or a sense of shame and guilt also appears, which results from the development of self-awareness during this period in

¹⁷ Ibidem.

life. What is characteristic of this period is that the child often experiences the co-existence of emotions like a sense of shame and anger. Such a combination of emotions is caused by the emergence of specific needs of the child that stem from the fulfilment of a developmental task as well as the ability to create symbolic representations of earlier events.¹⁸ A child can show an adult what states he/she is experiencing and prompt the caregiver to help them satisfy these needs. The indicators for this dimension have been presented in Table 2.

Table 2

Items of the experienced states and emotions dimension

Item No.	Statement Content
3	The child is in a situation where they don't like something or they were forbidden from doing something, for example: throwing a toy, slamming their fists on the table, kicking building blocks, and screaming.
4	The child manifests its dissatisfaction when they do not like something or when they were forbidden from doing something, for example: clenching their teeth, turning their head away, crossing their arms, grimacing, lowering their head, interrupting a conversation, and walking away.
16	The child uses gestures to express satisfaction from a task performed, for example: smiling, clapping their hands, repeating the action - building something once again, taking another piece of paper and creating another drawing.
19	The child shows the adult the states she/he is experiencing, for example: rubbing their eyes, covering their face with their hands, hiding behind furniture, going under the table, lying down on the floor, snuggling up on a sofa/bed, leaning against their caregiver, and cuddling their favourite stuffed toy.

Another dimension pertains to behaviours that are a sign of the development of a child in the scope of tolerating a high level of negative or positive excitement, as well as maintaining the undertaken actions. A child begins to experience emotions evoked by an expected event and develops the ability to control them, and they manifest a variety of behaviours leading to self-soothing.¹⁹ The sub-scale was called: *Controlled pleasant and unpleasant excitation*, and the indicators comprising it have been presented in Table 3.

¹⁸ Schaffer, 2005; quoted after: M. Czub, *Rozwój kompetencji społeczno-emocjonalnych dziecka*, [in:] *Diagnoza funkcjonowania społeczno-emocjonalnego*, p. 11-25.

¹⁹ M. Czub, J. Matejczuk, *Rozwój społeczno-emocjonalny w pierwszych sześciu latach życia*.

Table 3

Items of the controlling pleasant and unpleasant excitation dimension

Item No.	Statement Content
1	The child is waiting for their turn or an attractive event announced earlier, for example: the start of a game, their turn to play with a toy or equipment that they want to use.
5	The child finds themselves in a situation where they are facing a problem and they use soothing phrases such as: "Oh! My building blocks have tumbled down... never mind!", "Never mind the spilled juice!".
8	The child can soothe her/himself, for example: to sleep, take a nap or rest.
14	The child is in the middle of doing something and completes their task even if they are experiencing excitation.
15	The child is controlling positive excitation, for example: they are happy when they manage to do something or when they get a prize, but this does not interfere with the activities of other children – they do not shout, they do not comment on their peers not being capable of doing what they have done, they do not snatch prizes or toys away from others, and they do not disturb the game or play activity.

During this period in life, the child is learning that he/she is capable of inclining other people to do something for them and, by doing so, they are developing their ability to ask for help.²⁰ The beginnings of the self-regulation ability are expressed in the child's selective use of the help of an adult or peer. Through gestures, words or even directing attention, they can obtain the intended reaction of other people. Closer to the age of three, a child achieves the capacity to choose a self-soothing behaviour that is adequate to the situation in which they have found themselves.²¹ The dimension called *Coping in difficult situations*, comprising 4 items presented in Table 4, is used to study this component of the developing self-regulation ability.

Table 4

Items in the coping in difficult situations dimension

Item No.	Statement Content
6	A child in a difficult situation uses phrases that motivate her/him to continue acting, for example: "I'll just build the tower again... I can do this!", "I can fix this, Grandpa showed me how to do this", "I have to try again... I should manage to do it now!".
7	A child in a difficult situation asks their peer or caregiver for help.

²⁰ Sroufe, 1995; quoted after: M. Czub, *Rozwój kompetencji społeczno-emocjonalnych dziecka*.

²¹ Schaffer, 2006; Thompson, 2006; quoted after: M. Czub, J. Matejczuk, *Rozwój społeczno-emocjonalny w pierwszych sześciu latach życia*.

17	A child in a difficult situation gestures to their peer or caregiver for help, for example: hands a broken toy to them, takes their hand and leads them to where their building block tower tumbled, raises their hand holding a shape that does not fit into the opening in a way that is evident to the adult.
18	The child is capable of asking for something in a situation where the child wants or needs something.

A child aged 2 to 3 years, when transitioning from the regulation of emotions under the supervision of an adult to self-regulation, shifts from avoiding or ignoring situations that evoke emotions to independently controlling their behaviour and expression of emotions. A child starts to notice that their feelings can be different from the feelings experienced by the people from their surroundings. A change appears in their reactions to this divergence which is related to understanding where it stems from.²² An expression of this are the behaviours creating the following dimension: *Coping in situations of conflict with a caregiver or peer* consisting of four statements that have been presented in Table 5.

Table 5

Items of the coping in difficult situations of conflict with a caregiver or peer dimension

Item No.	Statement Content
9	The child can listen and maintain eye contact in a situation where the caregiver turns their attention to them.
10	The child can listen in the situation where the caregiver has turned their attention to them but, for example: lowers their head, looks away or starts doing something else.
11	In a situation of conflict, the child walks away to think the event over, calm itself down, and return to the conversation or come back for a hug.
12	In a situation of conflict, the child walks away to think the event over, calm her/himself down, and go back to the play activity.

Verification of the psychometric values of the scale in longitudinal studies

The developed scale constituted one of five tools that were used during the empirical verification of the concept for the diagnosis of the conditions of psychosocial development created for young children in early education

²² Ibidem.

institutions mentioned at the beginning of this article. The research was carried out using a quantitative strategy, in the form of longitudinal studies with two measurements of the effects of development taken in the relevant group of children at a 6-month interval between the first and second measurement. The studies encompassed 10 nursery groups in four early childhood education and care institutions, from which 132 children were selected that met the adopted age criterion, that is, who were aged around 20 months or slightly above 2 years during the first measurement, but not over 36 months in the planned timeframe for the second measurement.²³ An observation worksheet for each child was completed by the two main caregivers, independently from each other, who were working in each of the studied groups of children.

Material was collected for each child from two caregivers based on indirect observation, which is why it was decided that the conformity of the behaviour assessment of a given child by the two caregivers independently from each other should be established first, using Pearson's correlation coefficient (r). In the first and second measurement, the value of Pearson's r correlation coefficient was high²⁴ and came to: $r = 0.74$ and $r = 0.73$, respectively. Based on the outcomes of the correlation coefficient, the decision was made to perform further analyses on the averaged values of the scores obtained from the caregivers. The basic statistics for the self-regulation variable have been presented in Table 6.

Table 6

Basic statistics for the self-regulation variable

Variable	P	N	M	SD	SKE	K
Self-regulation	I	131	1.68	0.52	0.34	- 0.08
	II	131	2.30	0.56	0.22	- 0.28

P - measurement; N - number; M - mean; SD - standard deviation; SKE - skewness; K - kurtosis.

The reliability coefficient and mean inter-item correlations were calculated to establish the psychometric validity. Cronbach's alpha coefficient in the first measurement was 0.89 and in the second measurement 0.92, which proves the

²³ The studies were conducted with the written consent of the parents of the children and a positive opinion of the Scientific Research Ethics Committee at the Institute of Pedagogy, Kazimierz Wielki University, Ref. No. 4/XII/2017.

²⁴ The interpretation of the values of the correlation coefficients was adopted after Andrzej Stanisz: $r_{xy}=0$ - no correlation for variables; $0 < r_{xy} < 0.1$ - dim correlation; $0.1 \leq r_{xy} < 0.3$ weak correlation; $0.3 \leq r_{xy} < 0.5$ average correlation; $0.5 \leq r_{xy} < 0.7$ high correlation; $0.7 \leq r_{xy} < 0.9$ almost full correlation; $0.9 \leq r_{xy} < 1$ full correlation (A. Stanisz, *Przystępny kurs statystyki z zastosowaniem Statistica PL na przykładach z medycyny. Statystyki podstawowe*, vol. I, Kraków 2006).

reliability of the scale. Mean inter-item correlations in the first measurement (0.34) and in the second measurement (0.40) are similar and average. Based on these values, it was concluded that the developmental achievements of children aged from 24.2 months (average age of children in the first measurement) to 29.3 months (average age of children in the second measurement) can be measured through the reliable use of this tool. The validity of the described scale was then checked by analysing the correlations between the age of the studied children expressed in months and the effects of their development in the scope of self-regulation. The following results were obtained: in the first measurement $r = 0.54$ and in the second measurement $r = 0.24$, respectively. The result reported in the first measurement means that the strength of the relationship between the age of the studied children and the development of self-regulation is high and the age explains around 29.2% of the variability of the results in the scope of self-regulation (interpretation after: Stanisz, 2006).²⁵ In the second measurement, however, this relationship is weaker, which may be attributable to the varying conditions of development created for the children in the studied institutions.

In order to determine the structure of the scale, factor analysis was used to check if a more detailed analysis of the developmental achievements of the studied children in the scope of self-regulation is possible. The analysis was carried out separately for the data from the first and second measurements. Table 7 shows the number of factors and their eigenvalues obtained on the basis of the data from the first measurement, identified through an analysis of the principal components.

Table 7

Results of the analysis of the principal components - 1st measurement

Factor	Eigenvalue of factors	Cumulative percentages of total variance
1	7.598	39.988
2	2.937	55.444
3	1.666	64.213
4	1.175	70.394

The analysis of the principal components revealed that four factors meet the adopted Kaiser criterion, reaching an eigenvalue greater than 1 and explaining 70.4% of the input variable variances. The next step involved an analysis of the scree test.

²⁵ A. Stanisz, *Przystępny kurs statystyki*.




Fig. 1. Scree plot, 1st measurement

The line plot of the eigenvalues of the factors confirms the outcomes of the analysis of the principal components. Thus, using the two criteria for the identification of factors, the assumption was made that the statements of the scale build four dimensions and not five, as expected on its development stage.

Based on the data collected in the second measurement, an analysis of the principal components and the scree test were carried out once again. Table 8 shows the results obtained in the analysis of the principal components.

Table 8

Results of the analysis of principal components – 2nd measurement

Factor	Eigenvalue of factors	Cumulative percentages of total variance
1	8.679	45.678
2	2.686	59.816
3	1.391	67.138
4	1.133	73.100

Similarly to the results based on the data from the first measurement, four factors meeting the adopted Kaiser criterion also obtained values greater than 1. All four factors explain 73.1% of the input variable variances.




Fig. 2. Scree test, 2nd measurement

The scree test confirms the number of four factors identified in the analysis of the principal components with an eigenvalue greater than 1.

The outcome of the analyses carried out on the basis of the data from the first and second measurement is the conclusion that the scale items to measure self-regulation are spread across four dimensions. This was followed by an analysis of the factor loading values using the factor axes rotation method (Varimax normalised) and their interpretation. The factor loading values obtained in the first and second measurement for specific statements enabled the ascertainment of the extent to which the identified factors are in line with the adopted theoretical assumptions concerning the dimensions of the self-regulation abilities of young children. Table 9 shows the adopted assumptions on the stage of scale construction in terms of the allocation of relevant statements to the dimensions, and the results obtained. The allocation of a statement to a given dimension has been marked by grey shading in the table cells corresponding to the item number. An X marks the allocation of a given statement to the scale dimension based on the factor loading size. Bold type marks the consistency of the factor analysis result with the assumptions adopted on the scale construction stage. Only one item in the analyses, based on the data from the first measurement, failed to obtain a loading size of 0.50 considered as acceptable. In the analysis performed on the basis of the second measurement, two statements loaded two factors concurrently. These statements have been marked with an X and *.

Table 9

Structure of the scale established in the studies

The assumed dimension: naming experienced states and emotions (Factor 3 identified in the first measurement, and Factor 1 in the second measurement)								
Item No.	2	5	6	7	9	13	14	18
1 st measurement	X	X	X			X	X	
2 nd measurement	X	X	X	X*	X	X	X	X*
The assumed dimension: expressing the emotions and states being experienced (Factor 2 identified in the first measurement, and Factor 2 in the second measurement)								
Item No.	1	3	4	10	16	19		
1 st measurement	X	X	X					
2 nd measurement	X	X	X	X				
The assumed dimension: coping in difficult situations (Factor 1 identified in the first measurement, and Factor 3 in the second measurement)								
Item No.	6	7	8	15	16	17	18	19
1 st measurement		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
2 nd measurement		X*	X	X	X	X	X*	X
The assumed dimension: coping in situations of conflict with a caregiver or peer (Factor 4 identified in the first measurement, and Factor 4 identified in the second measurement)								
Item No.	10	11	12					
1 st measurement	X	X	X					
2 nd measurement		X	X					

The factor analysis carried out reveals that the theoretical assumptions adopted for the construction of the scale were partially in line with the dimensions identified during the analysis. Out of the two assumed indicators to measure the *naming experienced states and emotions* dimension, all were confirmed in the two measurements. The results of the analysis reveal that this dimension with a high load strength (0.90 in the first measurement and 0.84 in the second measurement) also creates Item No. 5 and Item No. 14 with a load

strength of 0.52 and 0.56, respectively, originating from the *controlling pleasant and unpleasant excitation* not identified during the analysis. The identified dimension is also built from Statement No. 6, the load strength of which was 0.67 in the first measurement and 0.82 in the second measurement. It was assumed that it will build the *coping in difficult situations* dimension. Due to the content of these statements and the significant load strength, particularly of Statements No. 5 and 6, it was assumed that caregivers assessing the behaviours of children could focus on the very fact of the verbalisation of the emotions and states experienced by children and not on the achieved result of talking about them, controlling excitation, or coping in a given situation. The above factor loading strengths obtained in the second measurement can result from the improvement of speech skills in older children. According to the theory, at around 36 months of age is the time when intensive training of behaviour and emotional expression takes place on the child's own initiative, hence, it is possible that this training occurs mainly through the verbalisation of emotions.

The next dimension that was identified builds Statement No. 1, 3, and 4. It was assumed that two of them (Item 3 and 4) are indicators for the assessment of the *expressing the states and emotions being experienced* dimension, which was confirmed by factor analysis. The remaining two indicators (No. 16 and 19) developed to study this dimension were not confirmed in any of the measurements.

When constructing this scale, it was assumed – in line with the theory – that a child of this age can manifest behaviours showing a lack of sufficient ability to control emotions and behaviours in a situation of excitation. Their appearance was considered to be a developmental signal of transitioning from monitored self-regulation to independent regulation of emotions. It is possible that the caregivers assessing a child's behaviours recognised that such elements of a child's reaction as clenched teeth or fists, the lowering of the head, and grimacing (Statement No. 4) are unconstructive ways of coping with an emotional experience. It is assumed that these indicators in the analysis build a separate dimension that was not foreseen in the assumptions because the expression of unpleasant emotions is less socially acceptable and judged negatively. It is concluded that Statements No. 16 and 19, the wording of which relate to the child expressing her/his states using gestures, was recognised as an indicator of experiencing positive emotions and positive ways of expressing them, which may indicate that the child is coping with their emotions. In the two dimensions, they jointly load another dimension – *coping in difficult situations*. Four indicators were adopted to measure the mentioned dimension, three of which (Statement No 7, 17, and 18) were confirmed in two measurements. However, Indicators No. 7 and

18 concurrently loaded the *naming the states and emotions being experienced* dimension; both refer to the child expressing a request for help in different situations. Perhaps a reason for this is that – in the case of older children – the ability to ask for help was, in the assessment of the caregivers, linked to speech improvement and not with a pattern of behaviour that the child chooses as most adequate to cope with the tension triggered by an unsatisfied need.

It is concluded that the *controlling pleasant and unpleasant excitation* has not been confirmed in the analysis, and its indicators (Statements No. 1, 5, 8, 14, and 15) were split and they loaded other factors because the wording of the statements assumed for its study referred either to naming the state in which the child is in order to calm oneself down or to motivating themselves to maintaining the effort despite the mistakes made or the difficulties encountered in order to fulfil the goal or take specific actions that demonstrate a control of excitation. It is assumed that patterns of behaviour demonstrating self-regulation of emotions in 2- to 3-year-old children may not be permanent, as in the case of older children when the self-regulation ability reaches a more mature form.

Out of the four indicators to measure the *coping in situations of conflict with a caregiver or peer* dimension, two were confirmed (Statement No. 11 and 12) in the two measurements. Item No. 9 from the *coping in situations of conflict with a caregiver or peer* dimension in the first measurement did not obtain the loading size considered acceptable – 0.50. The reason for this may be the too demanding content of the indicator in relation to the manifest behaviours of children aged 24 months, which are a sign of the development of self-regulation. In the second measurement, Item No. 7 loaded two factors concomitantly and the strength of the loading for Factor No. 1 was 0.70, and for Factor No. 3 was lower and amounted to 0.53. Item No. 18 also loaded two factors with a slight difference in load strength: 0.64 for Factor No. 1, and 0.60 for Factor No. 3. The mentioned statements will be omitted from the reliability calculations of the dimensions.

The last step involved an analysis of the reliability and the mean inter-item correlations for the identified dimensions separately, based on the result of analysis from the first and the second measurement. Based on the results of the factor analysis for the data from the first measurement, the scale was shortened to include 18 items, removing Statement No. 9. The indicator values have been presented in Table 10.

Table 10

Cronbach's alpha coefficient and mean inter-item correlations for the scale:
1st measurement

Dimensions No. of items - 18	Item number	Cronbach's alpha	Mean correlation
Naming experienced states and emotions [5]	2, 13, 5, 6, 14	0.90	0.68
Expression of experienced states and emotions [3]	1, 3, 4	0.86	0.28
Coping in difficult situations [7]	7, 8, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	0.86	0.50
Coping in situations of conflict with a caregiver or peer [3]	10, 11, 12	0.78	0.55

On the scale, based on the factor analysis carried out for the data from the second measurement, Statement No. 7 and 18 were removed, abbreviating it to 17 items. The results obtained have been presented in Table 11.

Table 11

Cronbach's alpha coefficient and mean inter-item correlations for the scale:
2nd measurement

Dimensions No. of items - 17	Item number	Cronbach's alpha	Mean correlation
Naming experienced states and emotions [6]	2, 13, 5, 6, 9, 14	0.92	0.66
Expression of states and emotions [4]	1, 3, 4, 10	0.17	0.03
Coping in difficult situations [5]	8, 15, 16, 17, 19	0.85	0.59
Coping in situations of conflict with a caregiver or peer [2]	11, 12	0.80	0.66

Cronbach's alpha coefficients are satisfactory for the dimensions identified in the first measurement. The mean inter-item correlations building these dimensions are similar and fall within the high correlation category, the only exception being the weak correlation between the items in the *expressing the emotions and states being experienced* dimension. In the second measurement, Cronbach's alpha coefficient for the three identified dimensions and the mean

inter-item correlations are satisfactory. However, the *expressing the emotions and states being experienced* dimension does not meet the basic reliability requirement and there is modest correlation between items, which means that these statements do not build the same theoretical construct in the second measurement.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Brzezińska A., Czub M., *Wczesna opieka i edukacja dzieci w Polsce w kontekście europejskim* [Early care and education of children in Poland in the European context], Polityka Społeczna, 2012, 1.
- Brzezińska A., Appelt K., Mielcarek M., Ratajczyk A., *Skrzynka z narzędziami. Wczesne dzieciństwo. Jak zbierać informacje o dziecku i środowisku jego rozwoju. Monitorowanie rozwoju w okresie dzieciństwa i dorastania* [Early Education Toolbox. How to gather information about a child and their developmental milieu. Monitoring development in childhood and adolescence], Series IV, [in:] *Niezbędnik Dobrego Nauczyciela. Seria IV Rozwój w okresie dzieciństwa i dorastania* [A Good Teacher's Toolkit. Series IC Development in Childhood and Adolescence], Ed. A. Brzezińska, Wydawnictwo IBN PAN, Warszawa 2014.
- Czub M., *Rozwój kompetencji społeczno-emocjonalnych dziecka* [The Development of Social and Emotional Competences of a Child], [in:] *Diagnoza funkcjonowania społeczno-emocjonalnego dziecka w wieku od 1,5 do 5,5 lat* [The Diagnosis of Social and Emotional Functioning of a Child aged from 1.5 to 5.5. Years], Ed. M. Czub, Wydawnictwo IBN PAN, Warszawa 2014.
- Czub M., Matejczuk J., *Rozwój społeczno-emocjonalny w pierwszych sześciu latach życia. Perspektywa jednostki, rodziny i społeczeństwa* [Social and Emotional Development in the First Six Years of Life. The Perspective of a Single Family Unit and of Society as a Whole], Wydawnictwo IBN PAN, Warszawa 2015.
- Erikson E.H., *Tożsamość a cykl życia* [Identity and the Life Cycle], Wydawnictwo Zysk i S-ka, Poznań 2004.
- Hornowska E., *Testy psychologiczne. Teoria i praktyka* [Psychological Testing. Theory and Practice], Wydawnictwo Naukowe Scholar, Warszawa 2007.
- Hornowska E., Brzezińska A., Appelt K., Kaliszewska-Czeremska K., *Rola środowiska w rozwoju młodego dziecka – metody badania* [The Role of the Environment in the Development of a Young Child], Wydawnictwo Naukowe Scholar, Warszawa 2014.
- Łaguna M., Lachowicz-Tabaczek K., Dzwonkowska I., *The Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (SES) – Polish adaptation*, Psychologia Społeczna, 2007, 2(4).
- Piotrowski K., *Kwestionariusz Styl adaptacji* [Adaptive Style Questionnaire], [in:] *Diagnoza funkcjonowania społeczno-emocjonalnego dziecka w wieku od 1,5 do 5,5 lat* [The Diagnosis of Social and Emotional Functioning of a Child aged from 1.5 to 5.5. Years], Ed. M. Czub, Wydawnictwo IBN PAN, Warszawa 2014.
- Sadowska K., *Wczesnodziecięca edukacja w żłobku. Obraz i postrzeganie* [Early Childhood Education in a Nursery. Image and Perception], Wydawnictwo Naukowe UAM, Poznań 2018.
- Squires J., Bricker D., Twombly E., *Ages and stages questionnaires. Social Emotional. Parent-completed child monitoring system for Social-Emotional Behaviors*, Second edition, Brookers Pu-

- blishing, London 2015, https://www.delnortekids.org/uploads/8/2/8/1/82819108/master_set.pdf
- Stanisz A., *Przystępny kurs statystyki z zastosowaniem Statistica Pl na przykładach z medycyny. Statystyki podstawowe* [An Approachable Course in Statistics using Statistica Pl Based on Examples from Medicine. Basic Statistics], vol. I, Wydawnictwo StatSoft, Kraków 2006.
- Telka L., *Przekształcanie przestrzeni społecznej placówki. Studium społeczno-pedagogiczne na przykładzie żłobków* [Transforming the Social Space of Facilities. A Social and Pedagogical Study Based on the Example of Nurseries], Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Łódzkiego, Łódź 2009.
- Wieczór E., *Określenie ram jakości w zakresie wczesnej opieki i edukacji. Przegląd badań edukacyjnych* [Defining the Quality Framework in the Scope of Early Care and Education. A Review of Educational Research], *Przegląd Badań Edukacyjnych*, 2014, 19(2).
- Zwiernik J., *Przestrzenie i miejsca w krajobrazie dzieciństwa* [Spaces and Places in the Landscape of Childhood], [in:] *Miejsca, przestrzeń, krajobraz: edukacyjne znaki* [Place - Space - Landscape], Ed. T. Sadoń-Osowiecka, Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Gdańskiego Gdańsk 2015.