**Reviewer's Code of Ethics**

In order to prevent undesirable publishing phenomena, to promote the reliability and transparency of the review process, and to apply the highest editorial standards, the Editorial Team of *Glottodidactica* asks reviewers to ensure that the below mentioned good practices are applied in reviewing practice. These steps are to prevent in particular: any **conflict of interest** between the author and the reviewers, **ghostwriting** (hiding one's real work contribution during the creation of a publication), and **guest authorship** (giving one's name as a publication's co-author with little or no contribution during its preparation).

We kindly ask reviewers to:

1. declare any potential conflict of interest in relation to the alleged authors of the text which is sent to them to be reviewed.

2. to report any form of intellectual property infringement (e.g. double publication of the same article, etc.) of which they are aware.

**The editorial staff of *Glottodidactica* is responsible for:**

- a proper selection of the reviewer, who should be an expert in the subject area covered by the article;

- a smooth running of the review process;

- ensuring an anonymous review process on both sides (of the author and of the reviewer);

**The reviewer's responsibilities:**

- to produce a review which is consistent in terms of logics and content and whose conclusions are clear and unambiguous;

- to return the review by the agreed deadline;

- to maintain discretion with regard to the text being reviewed.

**The review process from the reviewer's perspective:**

1. The reviewer is asked to carry out a review.
2. By accepting a paper for a review, the reviewer declares to carry out the review independently, objectively and to the best of his/her knowledge.
3. The reviewer receives an anonymous article with the indication of the date by which he or she should send it back.
4. The review is completed using the review form/the journal submission platform.
5. The reviewer has the following options: acceptance of the text, acceptance of the text with revisions to be intriduced, or submission rejection.
6. The reviewer may wish to re-read the text and accept its final form (after author corrections).
7. The list of reviewers is published once a year in *Glottodidactica* and is available online at <https://pressto.amu.edu.pl/index.php/gl>.
8. Reviewers are not paid for their work. Upon request, the Editorial team issues reviewer certificates.

**The Editor-in-Chief of the journal has the deciding vote in any disputes between a reviewer and author.**

This Code has been prepared taking into account the recommendations included in the document "Good practices in review procedures in science", published by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (https://ssluczelnia.sgh.waw.pl/pl/uczelnia/badania/Documents/MNiSW\_procedury.pdf).