

Paper proposal evaluation form

Glottodidactica 2020_1

Title of the paper:

	Yes	Partly agree	No
1. The title is appropriate for the content of the paper.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. The aim of the paper is appropriately formulated.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. The content of the paper is clearly and precisely presented.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. The discussion of the findings and the conclusions are well-grounded	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. The review of the available literature is relevant and applied to the context of the discussed items or findings.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. The structure of the paper is correct.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. The language in the paper is correct and appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. The paper offers a new insight into the investigated issue.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

9. I suggest making the following corrections or adjustments, if any:

.....

.....

.....

These corrections or adjustments should be introduced, if any:

The reviewer may review the paper in the electronic form, i.e., in the review mode;

page number	line from the top	or line from the bottom	It is now	It should be replaced with.....

10. General evaluation:

I recommend the paper:

- be published without any major alternations ☐
- be published after some necessary corrections/alternations/changes ☐
- be refused publishing ☐

Title of the paper: *From EFL Classroom Language to Classroom Lexicon: importing story language into teacher talk*

place, date.....

Reviewer's name and surname:

signature